



### PADEMN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

**Applicant:** 

Dean R. Brown

Serial No.:

10/090,885

Filed:

March 4, 2002

For:

CONTAINER WHOSE SIDE

WALL INCLUDES A SURFACE

DISCONTINUITY TO HOLD SHRINKWRAP THERETO

COPY OF PAPENS ORIGINALLY FILED

Docket No.

PWP0002/US/2

# COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY IN ORIGINAL APPLICATION

As a below-named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

I believe I am an original, first and sole inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled CONTAINER WHOSE SIDE WALL INCLUDES A SURFACE DISCONTINUITY TO HOLD SHRINKWRAP THERETO, the specification of which was filed on March 4, 2002 as application Serial No. 10/090,885.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims. I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, § 119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

### Prior Foreign Application(s)

<u>Number</u>	Country	Day/Month/Year Filed	Priority Claimed
None			Yes No
			Yes No

I hereby claim the benefit, under Title 35, United States Code, § 119(e) of any United States Provisional application identified below:

3599

## Provisional Application(s)

	Untry Day/Mon  JS March 5	tth/Year Filed , 2001	Priority Claimed           Yes _X_ No           Yes No
I hereby claim the application(s) listed below application is not disclose first paragraph of Title 35 material information as debetween the filing date of of this application:	v and, insofar as the sed in the prior United, United States Code, efined in Title 37, Co	subject matter of each of States application in the \$\ 112, I acknowledge the de of Federal Regulation	manner provided by the e duty to disclose s, § 1.56 which occurred
Appln. Ser. No. None	Filing Date	Status: patented, pe	ending abandoned
transact all business in the Reg. No. 32,642; Dale A. Amy J. Hoffman, Reg. No No. 33,406; and Daniel C Address all corres North, Suite 200, Stillwat	e Patent and Tradema Bjorkman, Reg. No. 5. 35,897; Kimberly S. 5. Schulte, Reg. No. 40 pondence to David B. er, Minnesota 55082, that all statements made formation and belief at the knowledge that risonment, or both, un	rk Office connected there 33,084; Michaele A. Hales. Jordahl, Reg. No. 40,990,160.  Kagan, Kagan Binder, February Phone 651-275-9804.  The herein of my own known the believed to be true; are willful false statements ander Section 1001 of Title 33,084; Michael Section 1001 of Title 33,084; Michaele A. Hales 33,084; Michaele	kamaki, Reg. No. 40,011; 98; David B. Kagan, Reg. PLLC, 221 Main Street wledge are true and that and further that these and the like so made are e 18 of the United States
Full name of sole inventor	r: <u>Dean R. Brown</u>		
Inventor's signature:	ean R Bro	w	
Residence: 2944 McCul	loch Rd., Beaverton,	MI 48612	Date
P.O. Address: same as al	bove		
Citizenship: <u>U.S. Citizen</u>			

#### §1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
  - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
  - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
    - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
    - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
  - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
  - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.